

Pairs of valuations and the geometry of soluble groups

Andrew D. Warshall*
andrew.warshall@yale.edu

July 31, 2009

Abstract

We introduce the concepts of a pair of valuations and a good generating set and show how they can be used to prove geometric properties of soluble groups.

1 Introduction

Let (A, a_0) be a pointed path-metric space. Let $\|a\| = d(a, a_0)$ and $B(n)$ (respectively $\overline{B}(n)$) be the open (resp. closed) ball of radius n about a_0 . We say A is *n-almost convex* if there are pairs of points $(a_i, b_i) \in A^2$ such that $d(a_i, b_i) \leq n$ but $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_{\overline{B}(\max(\|a_i\|, \|b_i\|))}(a_i, b_i)$, where by $d_{\overline{B}(\max(\|a_i\|, \|b_i\|))}$ we mean the path metric induced from paths restricted to $\overline{B}(\max(\|a_i\|, \|b_i\|))$. The *depth* of a point $a \in A$ is the distance from a to the complement of $B(\|a\|)$. We say (A, a_0) has *deep pockets* if it has points of arbitrarily large depth. (This concept is clearly of interest primarily for unbounded metric spaces.) Neither of these concepts is a quasi-isometry invariant, but both are coarse invariants.

If G is a group and S a generating set for G , the word metric (denoted by $\|\cdot\|_S$) gives G the structure of a pointed metric space. It is, admittedly, not a path-metric space, being discrete, but its Cayley graph is. In practice, we ignore the edges of the Cayley graph and simply pretend that sequences of group elements at distance 1 apart are paths. When this is done, it was shown by Cannon in [1] that the concept of *n*-almost convexity does not depend on n , provided it is ≥ 2 . Therefore, we may simply refer to a group's being *almost convex* with respect to some generating set.

Many groups are already known either not to be almost convex or to have deep pockets. Thus, lamplighter groups are not almost convex with respect to any generating set and have deep pockets with respect to their standard generating set (see [3]). A similar but more complicated and delicate argument

*We thank our advisor, Andrew Casson, for his helpful comments and Gilbert Baumslag and Tullia Dymarz for suggesting the problem that motivated this work.

(in [6]) shows the same thing for $K \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where K is a finite-rank abelian group and t acts on K by a hyperbolic automorphism which is an endomorphism of some lattice. Examples include lattices in Sol and the soluble Baumslag-Solitar groups. (Non-almost convexity was already shown for these examples in [2] and [4] respectively; deep pockets were also shown for lattices in Sol in [5].)

In this paper, we give a simpler and more general context in which to view these results. In particular, we will be able to prove that $\mathbb{Z}[1/6] \rtimes_{3/2} \langle t \rangle$ is not almost convex with respect to any generating set and has deep pockets with respect to some generating set.

2 Not almost convexity

Definition 1. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, K be a $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_l, t_l^{-1}]$ -module and let I_1 and $I_2: K - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then (I_1, I_2) is a *pair of valuations* for K if there are $C, b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $k_1, k_2 \in K - \{0\}$,

- $I_1(t_i k_1) = I_1(k_1) + b_i$,
- $I_2(t_i k_1) = I_2(k_1) - b_i$,
- $I_1(-k_1) = I_1(k_1)$ and similarly for I_2 and
- $I_1(k_1 + k_2) \leq \max(I_1(k_1), I_1(k_2)) + C$ and similarly for I_2 .

Remark. If K has a strongly t -logarithmic t -generating set, then $(I_{\max}, -I_{\min})$ are a pair of valuations.

Remark. If $K = \mathbb{Z}[1/6]$ and t acts by multiplication by $3/2$, then the 2-adic and 3-adic norms constitute a pair of valuations.

Notation. By $[t_1, \dots, t_l]$ we mean the free abelian group on $t_1, \dots, t_l$.

For K any $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_l, t_l^{-1}]$ -module, we denote elements of

$$K \rtimes [t_1, \dots, t_l]$$

by ordered pairs (m, k) with $m \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ and $k \in K$. Multiplication is given by $(m_1, k_1)(m_2, k_2) = (m_1 + m_2, t^{m_2} k_1 + k_2)$, where by t^{m_2} we mean $t_1^{m_{2,1}} \dots t_l^{m_{2,l}}$ and by $m_{2,i}$ we mean the i th component of m_2 .

Lemma 1. Let K be a $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_l, t_l^{-1}]$ -module and (I_1, I_2) be a pair of valuations for K with $C, b_1, \dots, b_l$ as in the definition of a pair of valuations. Let A be a finite subset of K and let $z \in \mathbb{N}$. For $a = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_l^{m_l} \in [t_1, \dots, t_l]$, let $B(a) = b_1 m_1 + \dots + b_l m_l$. Then there is $D \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $a_i \in [t_1, \dots, t_l]$ be such that $a_i > 0$ and

$$|B(a_1)|, |B(a_{i+1} - a_i)|, |B(a_n)| \leq z.$$

Let $k = \sum_{i=1}^n t^{a_i} k_i$ for $k_i \in A$. Then there is $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that more than $2p$ of the $B(a_i)$ are $\geq \max(I_1(k) - D - p, 1)$.

Similarly, if we instead assume all $a_i < 0$, there is $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that more than $2p$ of the $-B(a_i)$ are $\geq \max(I_2(k) - D - p, 1)$.

Proof. We prove the first paragraph; the proof of the second paragraph is analogous.

We choose $v_1, v_2, \dots \in K$ inductively as follows. Let V_1 be a subset of $\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \leq n, a_i > 0\}$ formed by choosing $2C$ integers i with $B(a_i)$ greatest (where C is as in the definition of a pair of valuations) and let $v_1 = \sum_{i \in V_1} t^{a_i} k_i$. Then let V_2 similarly contain the $2C$ integers with $B(a_i)$ next greatest and $v_2 = \sum_{i \in V_2} t^{a_i} k_i$, and so on. This process must terminate since n is finite; suppose V_q is the last nonempty subset. (It is possible that $|V_q| < 2C$.) Then $k = \sum_{i=1}^q v_i$.

Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that, for all $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, at most $2p$ of the a_i are

$$\geq M - I - C - \log_2 C - 2 - p,$$

where

$$I = \max \{ I_1(k) \mid k \in A \}.$$

Then every v_i , by construction, is the sum of $2C$ terms k' with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(k') &\leq I + \max \{ B(a_j) \mid j \in V_i \} \\ &\leq I + M - I - C - \log_2 C - 2 - C(i-1) = M - \log_2 C - 2 - Ci, \end{aligned}$$

so $I_1(v_i) < M - Ci$.

Under these conditions, I claim that, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $I_1 \left(\sum_{j=i}^q v_j \right) < M - C(i-1)$. The proof is by induction on $q-i$. For $i = q$ this is weaker than what we already know. For $i < q$ it follows easily from writing

$$I_1 \left(\sum_{j=i}^q v_j \right) \leq \max \left(I_1(v_i), I_1 \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^q v_j \right) \right) + C < M - Ci + C = M - C(i-1),$$

where the strict inequality is by induction. The claim is proven.

It follows, setting $i = 1$, that $I_1(k) < M$. This proves the lemma, letting $D = I + C + \log_2 C + 2$. $\square$

Proposition 2. *Let K be a $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_l, t_l^{-1}]$ -module and (I_1, I_2) be a pair of valuations for K with $b_1, \dots, b_l$ as in the definition of a pair of valuations. For $a = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_l^{m_l} \in [t_1, \dots, t_l]$, let $B(a) = b_1 m_1 + \dots + b_l m_l$. Let $G = K \rtimes [t_1, \dots, t_l]$. Let S be any finite generating set for G . Let $z = \max \{ B(m) \mid (m, k) \in S \cup S^{-1} \}$. Then there is $F' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $g = (0, k) \in K \subset G$, either $I_1(g)$ or $I_2(g) \leq \|g\|_S z/4 + F'$. (These make sense since $g \in K$.)*

Corollary 3. *Let K be a $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_l, t_l^{-1}]$ -module and (I_1, I_2) be a pair of valuations for K with $b_1, \dots, b_l$ as in the definition of a pair of valuations. For $a = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_l^{m_l} \in [t_1, \dots, t_l]$, let $B(a) = b_1 m_1 + \dots + b_l m_l$. Let $G = K \rtimes [t_1, \dots, t_l]$. Let S be any finite generating set for G . Let $z = \max \{ B(m) \mid (m, k) \in S \cup S^{-1} \}$. Then there is $F \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $g = (a, k) \in G$ with $|B(a)| \leq z$, either $I_1(k)$ or $I_2(k) \leq \|g\|_S z/4 + F$.*

Note that the result is easy if the coefficient of $\|g\|_S$ is changed from $z/4$ to $z/2$ or, for that matter, to Az for any $A > 1/4$. For the sequel, however, we will need $z/4$.

Proof of Proposition 2. Let $g = (0, k) = (m_1, k_1)(m_2, k_2) \dots (m_n, k_n)$, $(m_1, k_1), \dots, (m_n, k_n) \in S \cup S^{-1}$. We will show either $I_1(g)$ or $I_2(g) \leq nz/4 + F'$. Let $a_i = \sum_{j=i+1}^n m_j$, so that $k = \sum_{i=1}^n t^{a_i} k_i$; note that all $B(a_i) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_0 = a_n = 0$ (since $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = 0$). Either at most $n/2$ of the $B(a_i)$ are positive or at most $n/2$ are negative. Assume without loss of generality that at most $n/2$ are positive. But

$$|B(a_{i+1} - a_i)| = |B(m_{i+1})| \leq z.$$

It follows that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, at most $n/2 - 2j$ of the $B(a_i)$ are $> jz$. Let $p = \lfloor n/4 - j + 1 \rfloor$, where j is as in the preceding sentence. Then, for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, at most $2p$ of the $B(a_i)$ are $> \max(nz/4 + z - pz, 0)$.

Decompose $k = v^+ + v^-$, where

$$v^+ = \sum \{ t^{a_i} k_i \mid a_i > 0 \}$$

and

$$v^- = \sum \{ t^{a_i} k_i \mid a_i \leq 0 \}.$$

Let

$$I = \max \{ I_1(s') \mid s' \in S \cup S^{-1} \}.$$

Then $I_1(v^-) \leq I + C(\log_2 n + 1)$, where C is as in the definition of a pair of valuations.

By Lemma 1, there are $D \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, with D independent of g and n , such that more than $2p$ of the $B(a_i)$ are $\geq \max(I_1(v^+) - D - p, 1) \geq \max(I_1(v^+) - D - pz, 1)$. It will follow, by the first paragraph, that

$$I_1(v^+) - D - pz < \frac{nz}{4} + z - pz,$$

that is that $I_1(v^+) < nz/4 + D + z$.

But, by the definition of a pair of valuations,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(g) = I_1(k) = I_1(v^+ + v^-) &\leq \max(I_1(v^+), I_1(v^-)) + C \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{nz}{4} + D + z, I + C(\log_2 n + 1)\right) + C < \frac{nz}{4} + F', \end{aligned}$$

where C is again as in the definition of a pair of valuations and F' is a constant depending only, via z , I , D and C , on K and S (not on n or g), so we are done. $\square$

Theorem 4. *Let K be a $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_l, t_l^{-1}]$ -module which has a pair of valuations. Let $G = K \rtimes [t_1, \dots, t_l]$ and let S be a finite generating set for G . Then G is not almost convex with respect to S .*

Proof. Let (I_1, I_2) be the pair of valuations with $b_1, \dots, b_l$ as in the definition of a pair of valuations. For $a = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_l^{m_l} \in [t_1, \dots, t_l]$, let

$$B(a) = b_1 m_1 + \dots + b_l m_l.$$

For $g = (m, k) \in G$, let $\beta(g) = k$, $I_1(g) = I_1(k)$ and $I_2(g) = I_2(k)$.

Let $a \neq 0 \in K$ and let $s = (z', k_s) \in S \cup S^{-1}$ be chosen such that $B(z') \geq B(m')$ for all $(m', k') \in S \cup S^{-1}$. Let $z = B(z')$. Let

$$M = \max \{ I_1(s') \mid s' \in S \cup S^{-1} \}$$

and

$$m = \max \{ I_2(s') \mid s' \in S \cup S^{-1} \}.$$

Then, for all $g \in G$,

$$I_1(g) \leq M + z \|g\|_S + C(\log_2 \|g\|_S + 1)$$

and

$$I_2(g) \leq m + z \|g\|_S + C(\log_2 \|g\|_S + 1),$$

where C is as in the definition of a pair of valuations. For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $g_n(i) = s^{n+i} a s^{-2n} a s^n = s^{i-n} a s^{2n} a s^{-n}$. Then, for $|i| \leq n$, $\|g_n(i)\|_S \leq 4n - |i| + 2\|a\|_S$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, define $h_n^+ = g_n(J)$ and $h_n^- = g_n(-J)$, where $J \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is a constant to be chosen later. Let $n \geq J$. By the formula at the end of the preceding paragraph,

$$\|h_n^+\|_S \leq 4n - J + 2\|a\|_S$$

and

$$\|h_n^-\|_S \leq 4n - J + 2\|a\|_S.$$

Since $h_n^+(h_n^-)^{-1} = s^{2J}$, we have

$$\|h_n^+(h_n^-)^{-1}\|_S \leq 2J.$$

Note that this depends only on our choice of J . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(h_n^+) &= I_1(t^{nz'} a + t^{-nz'} a + \beta(s^J)) \\ &\leq \max(I_1(t^{nz'} a), I_1(t^{-nz'} a), I_1(\beta(s^J))) + 2C \\ &= \max(I_1(a) + zn, I_1(a) - zn, I_1(\beta(s^J))) + 2C \\ &\leq \max(I_1(a) + zn, M + Jz + C(\log_2 J + 1)) + 2C = zn + I_1(a) + 2C \end{aligned}$$

for n large enough. But similarly

$$\begin{aligned} zn + I_1(a) &= I_1(t^{nz'} a) \\ &= I_1(h_n^+ - t^{-nz'} a - \beta(s^J)) \leq \max(I_1(h_n^+), I_1(t^{-nz'} a), I_1(\beta(s^J))) + 2C \\ &\leq \max(I_1(h_n^+), I_1(a) - zn, M + Jz + C(\log_2 J + 1)) + 2C, \end{aligned}$$

so $zn + I_1(a) - 2C \leq I_1(h_n^+) \leq zn + I_1(a) + 2C$ for n large enough. Similarly, $zn + I_2(a) - 2C \leq I_2(h_n^+) \leq zn + I_2(a) + 2C$. Also, the same results hold by analogous arguments when h_n^+ is replaced by h_n^- .

Every edge of the (left) Cayley graph of G with respect to S connects some (m_1, k_1) and $(m_2, k_2) \in G$ with $|B(m_1 - m_2)| \leq z$. Thus any path in the (left) Cayley graph of G with respect to S connecting h_n^- and h_n^+ must contain some $g = (m, k) \in G$ with $|B(m)| < z$. Suppose

$$\|g\|_S \leq \max(\|h_n^+\|_S, \|h_n^-\|_S) \leq 4n - J + 2\|a\|_S.$$

Then Corollary 3 says that either

$$I_1(g) \leq \|g\|_S z/4 + F \leq nz - \frac{Jz}{4} + \frac{\|a\|_S z}{2} + F$$

or the same for $I_2(g)$, where F is as in that proposition. Without loss of generality, we assume the former.

We have

$$I_1(h_n^+) \leq \max(I_1(h_n^+ g^{-1}) + B(m), I_1(g)) + C.$$

We want to choose J so that

$$I_1(h_n^+) > I_1(g) + C.$$

Since $I_1(g) + C \leq nz - Jz/4 + \|a\|_S z/2 + F + C$, it will suffice to take

$$nz - \frac{Jz}{4} + \frac{\|a\|_S z}{2} + F + C < nz + I_1(a) - 2C,$$

that is $J > (4/z)(F + \|a\|_S z/2 - I_1(a) + 3C)$. Note that this is independent of n . Then we will have $I_1(g) + C < nz + I_1(a) - 2C \leq I_1(h_n^+)$, as desired. It will follow that $I_1(h_n^+) \leq I_1(h_n^+ g^{-1}) + B(m) + C$, so

$$nz \leq I_1(h_n^+) - I_1(a) + 2C \leq I_1(h_n^+ g^{-1}) + B(m) - I_1(a) + 3C,$$

that is $I_1(h_n^+ g^{-1}) \geq nz - B(m) + I_1(a) - 3C > nz - z + I_1(a) - 3C$. But

$$I_1(h_n^+ g^{-1}) \leq M + z\|h_n^+ g^{-1}\|_S + C \log_2(\|h_n^+ g^{-1}\|_S + 1),$$

so $\|h_n^+ g^{-1}\|_S$ goes to infinity as n does, completing the proof. $\square$

Corollary 5. *Let G be either*

- *a lamplighter group,*
- $\mathbb{Z}[1/6] \rtimes_{.3/2} \langle t \rangle$ *or*
- $K \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, *where K is a finite-rank abelian group and t acts by a hyperbolic automorphism which is an endomorphism of some lattice.*

Then G is not almost convex with respect to any finite generating set.

Proof. The first two cases are easy; the third follows from Section 6 of [6]. $\square$

Corollary 6. *Let K be an indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module such that the actions of t and t^{-1} each have at least one (complex) eigenvalue of absolute value > 1 . Then $K \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ is not almost convex with respect to any generating set.*

Proof. Let K_+ and $K_- \subset K \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be the eigenspaces corresponding to the indicated eigenvalues λ_+ and λ_- . Let ϕ_+ and ϕ_- be the projections to K_+ and K_- that come from sending all other eigenspaces to 0. Then, for $k \in K$, we define $I_1(k) = \log_{|\lambda_+|} \|\phi_+(k)\|$ and $I_2(k) = \log_{|\lambda_-|} \|\phi_-(k)\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ refers to any norm. These are well-defined since K is indecomposable, so that $\phi_+(k)$ and $\phi_-(k)$ are 0 only when $k = 0$. It is then clear (I_1, I_2) satisfies the conditions, $\square$

3 Deep pockets

Definition 2. Let K be a $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module and let (I_1, I_2) and (I'_1, I'_2) be two pairs of valuations for K with the same $b = b_1$. Then $A \subseteq K$ is a *good generating set* for K with *fuzziness* (F, F') if

- $0 \in A$,
- $A = -A$,
- for all $a \in A - \{0\}$, $I'_1(a) \leq I_1(a)$ and $I'_2(a) \leq I_2(a)$ and
- for all $k \in K$ with $I'_1(k) \leq I_1(k) + F$ and $I'_2(k) \leq I_2(k) + F$, there are $a_i \in A$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_j \in A$ for $1 \leq j \leq F'$ such that
 - $a_i = 0$ except for $\min(-I_2(k), 0) - F' \leq bi \leq \max(I_1(k), 0) + F'$,
 - $k - \sum_i t^i a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{F'} t^{i_j} a_j$ and
 - $\min(-I_2(k), 0) - F' \leq bi_j \leq \max(I_1(k), 0) + F'$ for all j .

Remark. If K has a strongly t -logarithmic t generating set, then for any F it is also a good generating set with fuzziness (F, F') for some F' ; just let $I_1 = I'_1 = I_{\max}$ and $I_2 = I'_2 = -I_{\min}$.

Theorem 7. *For every b and C there is F with the following property. Let K be a $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module and let (I_1, I_2) and (I'_1, I'_2) be two pairs of valuations for K with C as given and the same $b = b_1$. Let $A \subseteq K$ be a finite good generating set for K with fuzziness (F, F') for some F' . Then $K \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ has deep pockets with respect to $\{ata' \mid a, a' \in A\} \cup A$.*

Proof. Let $S = \{ata' \mid a, a' \in A\} \cup A$. Let

$$M = \max \{ |I_1(a')|, |I_2(a')|, |I'_1(a)|, |I'_2(a)| \mid a' \in A \}.$$

Let $a \in A$. Let $k_i = t^i a + t^{-i} a \in K \subset K \rtimes \langle t \rangle$. Then $I_1(k_i), I_2(k_i) \geq i - C - M$, so, by Proposition 2, $\|k_i\|_S \geq 4(i - C - M - H)/|b|$, where H is the

quantity referred to as F' in the statement of that proposition. (In particular, H is independent of i .) Let $l \in G$ be such that $\|lk_i^{-1}\|_S = j_i$. Then $lk_i^{-1} = t^n k'$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k' \in K$. Furthermore, $|n| \leq j_i$ and $I_1(k')$, $I'_1(k')$, $I_2(k')$ and $I'_2(k') \leq |b| j_i + C(\log_2 j_i + 1) + M$. It follows that $l = t^n k''$ with

- $|n| \leq j_i$,
- $I_1(k''), I_2(k'') \leq i + M + 2C$ and
- $I'_1(k''), I'_2(k'') \geq i - M - 2C$

so long as $i > |b| j_i + C(\log_2 j_i + 3) + 2M$.

Then the definition of a good generating set (with $F = 2M + 4C$) gives $a_i \in A$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_j \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq F'$ such that

- $a_i = 0$ except for $\min(-i - M - 2C, 0) - F' \leq bi \leq \max(i + M + 2C, 0) + F'$,
- $k'' - \sum_i t^i a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{F'} t^{i_j} a_j$ and
- $\min(-i - M - 2C, 0) - F' \leq bi_j \leq \max(i + M + 2C, 0) + F'$ for all j .

Thus $\|k''\|_S \leq 4i + 4M + 8C + 5F'$. We are done by the Fuzz Lemma from [5], since the upper bound on j_i goes to infinity as i does. $\square$

Corollary 8. *Let G be a lamplighter group or $K \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where K is a finite-rank abelian group and t acts by a hyperbolic automorphism which is an endomorphism of some lattice. Then G has deep pockets with respect to some generating set.*

Proof. The first case is easy; the second again requires Section 6 of [6]. $\square$

Corollary 9. *Let $K \supset L \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, $P, Q \in \text{Aut}(K)$ such that*

- $|\det(P)|$ and $|\det(Q)|$ are coprime,
- $PQL = QPL$,
- $K = \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^0 P^i Q^i L$,
- $0 = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} P^i Q^i L$ and
- all eigenvalues of QP^{-1} have absolute value > 1 .

Let t act by QP^{-1} . Then $K \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ has deep pockets with respect to some generating set.

In particular, $\mathbb{Z}[1/6] \rtimes_{\cdot 3/2} \langle t \rangle$ has deep pockets with respect to some generating set.

Proof. Let B be the symmetrized closed unit cube in K with respect to an n -element generating set for QL . (In what follows, we will use $\|\cdot\|$ to denote L_1 norm with respect to this generating set.) Let $I'_1(k) = \min \{ i \mid k \in (QP^{-1})^i B \}$. If $|\det(P)| = 1$ then let $I_1(k) = I'_1(k)$. Let

$$I_1(k) = -\max \left\{ i \mid k \in \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^0 P^i Q^j L \right\}$$

if $|\det(P)| > 1$ and

$$I'_2(k) = I_2(k) = \max \left\{ i \mid k \in \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^0 P^j Q^i L \right\}.$$

(We have $|\det(Q)| > 1$ by the last condition on P and Q .) Clearly, (I_1, I_2) and (I'_1, I'_2) are pairs of valuations with $b = 1$ and $C = \lfloor \log_\lambda 2 \rfloor + 1$, where λ is the least absolute value of any eigenvalue of QP^{-1} .

Let $A = L \cap B$. I claim that A is a fuzzy good generating set for K . The first three conditions are clearly satisfied. Let $k \in K$ be such that $I'_1(k) \leq I_1(k) + F$. Let $N = Q^{I_1(k) - I_2(k)} (PQ^{-1})^{I_1(k)}$. Then $N(k) \in L$ (it is here that we use that the determinants are coprime) and

$$N(k) \in Q^{I_1(k) - I_2(k)} (PQ^{-1})^{I_1(k)} (QP^{-1})^{I_1(k) + F} B = Q^{I_1(k) - I_2(k)} F'' B,$$

where $F'' = (QP^{-1})^F$ depends only on F .

It remains to show there are a_i and $a_j \in A$ and $i_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

- $a_i = 0$ except for $\min(-I_2(k), 0) - F' \leq i \leq \max(I_1(k), 0) + F'$,
- $\min(-2I_2(k), 0) - F' \leq i_j \leq \max(I_1(k) - I_2(k), 0) + F'$ for all j and
-

$$\begin{aligned} N \left(k - \sum_i (QP^{-1})^{i+I_2(k)} a_i \right) \\ &= N(k) - \sum_i Q^{I_1(k) - I_2(k)} (QP^{-1})^{i+I_2(k) - I_1(k)} (a_i) \\ &= N \left(\sum_{j=1}^{F'} (QP^{-1})^{i_j + I_2(k)} a_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{F'} Q^{I_1(k) - I_2(k)} (QP^{-1})^{i_j + I_2(k) - I_1(k)} (a_j). \end{aligned}$$

But clearly there are $a_i \in A$ such that

$$k' = N(k) - \sum_{i=0}^{I_1(k) - I_2(k)} Q^{I_1(k) - I_2(k)} (QP^{-1})^{i+I_2(k) - I_1(k)} (a_i) \in Q^{I_1(k) - I_2(k)} L,$$

and k' is the difference of two terms $\in F'''Q^{I_1(k)-I_2(k)}B$, where $F''' \in \mathbb{N}$ depends only on F (via F''). Thus for any F there is F' such that A is a finite good generating set with fuzziness (F, F') , so we are done.

The last sentence follows trivially. $\square$

References

- [1] J.W. Cannon. Almost convex groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 22(2):197–210, 1987.
- [2] J.W. Cannon et al. Solvgroups are not almost convex. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 31(3):291–300, 1989.
- [3] S. Cleary and J. Taback. Dead end words in lamplighter groups and other wreath products. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 56(2):165–78, 2005. [arXiv:math.GR/0309344](#).
- [4] C.F. Miller and M. Shapiro. Solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups are not almost convex. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 72(2):123–7, 1998. [arXiv:math.GR/9702202](#).
- [5] A.D. Warshall. Deep pockets in lattices and other groups. To appear in *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*. [arXiv:math.GR/0611575](#), 2007.
- [6] ———. Strongly t -logarithmic t -generating sets: Geometric properties of some soluble groups. Preprint. [arXiv:0808.2789](#), 2008.